

On the Gödel's formula

Jailton C. Ferreira

Abstract

The proof of Gödel's first incompleteness theorem includes the construction of an arithmetic formula G that represents the metamathematical statement: the formula G is not provable. This article examines the formula G (of Gödel). We demonstrated that the Gödel's number of the formula G is not a finite number if (i) G is comprehended as a self-referential statement or (ii) there is an infinite set \mathbb{S} of well formed formulae with one free variable such that the elements of \mathbb{S} are theorems or antitheorems in T .

1 Introduction

The Gödel's formula is frequently comprehended as a self-referential statement like

This sentence is not provable.
Let G be the name of the above sentence.

In the section **2** we show that the Gödel's statement can not contain its own Gödel's numeral. Since in the indirect self-reference the formula refers to itself through of one or more formulae, the conclusion for direct self-reference is extended to indirect self-reference cases when Gödel's number of a formula is used as the name of the formula.

Section **3** examines the Gödel's formula without considering self-reference. Starting from the diagonal theorem we conclude that if there is an infinite set \mathbb{S} of well formed formulae with one free variable such that the elements of \mathbb{S} are theorems or antitheorems in T then the Gödel's sentence does not exist.

2 Gödel's formula and self-reference

A category of names of formulae, denominated *structural-descriptive names* by Tarski [1], is applied to names that describe the words that compose the denoted expression.

Gödel's numbering form attributes a distinctive numeral to each symbol of the alphabet of a formal language. It possesses an effective method to map each symbol, sequence of symbols (which can be a well formed formula) or sequence of well formed formulae (which can be proof of a theorem) in a numeral (denominated Gödel's number), and it possesses an effective method to map each Gödel's number in the symbol or sequences of symbols corresponding to the Gödel's number.

It is evident that the Gödel's number of a formula is a structural-descriptive name of the formula. The formula named G has a second name that is its Gödel's number. We will now build the formula G .

Let y be the Gödel's number of a well formed formula with a single free variable, z

$$y \quad \Delta z \Omega \tag{1}$$

where Δ and Ω are sequences of symbols.

Let us define the function $sub(y, z, j)$ as being the formula obtained with the substitution, in the formula of Gödel's number y , of the only free variable, z , by the number j . The sequence $sub(y, z, j)$ is

$$\Delta sss \dots s0\Omega$$

where s means “the successor of” and there are $j+1$ characters in the sequence $sss \dots s0$. Let us denote by $g(A)$ the Gödel’s number of the formula A and by $\ulcorner A \urcorner$ the sequence $sss \dots s0$ of $g(A)+1$ symbols. Let us consider the formula

$$\neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = \ulcorner sub(y, z, y) \urcorner))) \quad (2)$$

$P(r, s)$ is true if the sequence of symbols with Gödel’s number r proves the formula with Gödel’s number s . In English (2) means: *there is not a proof for the formula whose Gödel’s numeral is $\ulcorner sub(y, z, y) \urcorner$.*

For us to derive the Gödel’s number of (2), we substituted $\ulcorner sub(y, z, y) \urcorner$ by the sequence $sss \dots s0$ of $g(sub(y, z, y))+1$ symbols

$$\neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = sss \dots s0))) \quad (3)$$

Let n be the Gödel’s number of (2). Assuming the variable y of function $sub(y, z, y)$ the value n , the formula (2) changes to

$$\neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = \ulcorner sub(n, z, n) \urcorner))) \quad (4)$$

The formula (4) is also $sub(n, y, n)$ and its Gödel’s number is

$$g(sub(n, y, n)) \quad (5)$$

If we know y , then we know the corresponding formula and the position of the free variable z in it. The character z in (2) is determined and substituted by y . In this sense the free variable in (2) is y .

In the presentation with the name of the formula and the formula, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} g(sub(n, y, n)) & \neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = \ulcorner sub(n, z, n) \urcorner))) \\ \text{name of the formula} & \text{formula} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

The proof of Gödel’s first incompleteness theorem includes the construction of an arithmetic formula G that would represent the metamathematical statement: formula G is not provable. This formula G is in Hofstadter [2] or Nagel and Newman [3], for instance,

$$\begin{array}{ll} g(sub(n, z, n)) & \neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = \ulcorner sub(n, z, n) \urcorner))) \\ \text{name of the formula} & \text{formula} \end{array} \quad (7)$$

To come to (7) the symbol z needs to be understood as the free variable in (2) and Gödel’s number of (4) defined by

$$g(sub(n, z, n))$$

Let us notice that

$$\begin{array}{ll} g(sub(n, z, n)) & \neg(\exists r : \exists s : (P(r, s) \wedge (s = \ulcorner sub(y, z, y) \urcorner))) \\ \text{name of the formula} & \text{formula} \end{array} \quad (8)$$

is obtained if z is understood as the free variable in (2) and $\ulcorner sub(y, z, y) \urcorner$ in (2) is substituted by $\ulcorner sub(y, z, n) \urcorner$. The formula presented in (7) needs to be examined:

Theorem 2.1 *The Gödel’s number of the formula G is not a finite number.*

References

- [1] A. Tarsky, *The Semantic Conception of Truth and the Foundations of Semantics*, Philosophy and Phenomenological Research **4** (1944).
- [2] D. R. Hofstadter, *Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid*, Vintage Books, (1980).
- [3] E. Nagel and J. R. Newman, *Prova de Gödel*, Editora Perspectiva, (1973).
- [4] Noson S. Yanofsky, *A Universal Approach to Self-referential Paradoxes, Incompleteness and Fixed Points*, arXiv:math.LO/0305282 v1 19 May 2003.